

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,420	06/22/2001	Kevin E. Spaulding	83000THC	8947
75	90 02/07/2005		EXAM	NER
Thomas H. Close			BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
Patent Legal Sta	iff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2624	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/887,420	SPAULDING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— s action is non-final.					
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	1.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 1-13,15-21 and 23-26 is/are allowed						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•	ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	, ,					
application from the International Burea	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/04.	6) Other:	, - · · - · · - ·				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 14 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 14 & 22 each recite a computer program product, but do not recite a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter. Examiner respectfully suggests a recitation along the lines of "a computer-readable medium containing a program..." to supply a statutory element.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-13, 15-21, & 23-26 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1, 15-16, & 23-26 (and dependent claims 2-13 & 17-21) the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of halftoning two color channels representing low-density and high-density versions of substantially the same color in which one matrix is the inverse (i.e. obtained by subtracting values in the original matrix from a predetermined maximum) of the other.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,420

Art Unit: 2624

In particular, Examiner recognizes that Spaulding and Kakutani contain similar teachings, but not a teaching or suggestion of this recited arrangement, for the following reasons:

Spaulding et al discloses (Abstract) a set of dither matrices for halftoning a multi-channel two-dimensional array digital color image in which the matrices are designed to minimize a visual cost function.

However, Spaulding et al discloses the use of such matrix sets for dissimilar colors (cyan, magenta, and yellow) rather than for the recited similar colors (substantially the same color, differing in density) of the present claims.

Kakutani discloses (Abstract; column 1, line 35 - column 2, line 2) dithering using light and deep (i.e. dark) dots of the same basic color and (column 24, lines 27-31) a set of dither matrices for halftoning a multi-channel two-dimensional array digital color image in which one matrix is the inverse of the other (obtained by subtracting values in the first matrix from a predetermined maximum).

However, Kakutani discloses the use of such matrix pairs for dissimilar colors (cyan and magenta), which does not teach or suggest the use of such matrix pairs for the recited similar

Art Unit: 2624

colors (substantially the same color, differing in density) of the present claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamada et al and Matsumoto et al disclose examples of halftone dither matrix printing using low-density and high-density versions of the same ink color.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,420 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Hand-carried or courier-delivered correspondence pertaining to this application should be directed to

US Patent and Trademark Office 220 South 20th Street Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington VA 22202

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

February 4, 2005